EARBA STORAGE

A GILKES ENERGY COMPANY

Earba Pumped Storage Hydro Scheme CAR Licence Application Report

Appendix B: MNV Hydrology Report

December 2024





Hydrology of the Allt Loch a'Bhealaich Leamhain

12 month report



Hydrology of the Allt Loch a'Bhealaich Leamhain

12 month report

Client:	Gilkes Energy
Contributors:	
Checked by:	

Document revisions:

Status:	Version:	Date:
Final	v1	14/10/2024



MNV Consulting Ltd Stirling Road Callander Perthshire FK17 8LE

Tel: 01877 331080

Email: info@mnvconsulting.co.uk

1 Introduction

In May 2023, Gilkes Energy contracted MNV Consulting to carry out a programme of river gauging works on the Ardverikie Estate. Three river gauging stations and one loch level station were installed with the aim of collecting 12 months of data.

The stations were installed in June 2023 but several problems were encountered with the installations and two had to be moved. Monthly visits were planned to all stations but due to weather and river conditions the sites could not be accessed for most of the winter. The data loggers continued to operate automatically during this period, so no data were lost.

This report describes the data collected over a 12 month period and the long term flow duration curve analysis for one of the stations, Allt Loch a'Bhealaich Leamhain.

2 Station details

2.1 Installation

The Allt Loch a'Bhealaich Leamhain forms the outflow from Loch a'Bhealaich Leamhain.

Table 1 gives details of the river gauging station, Figure 1 shows the location and Figure 2 shows an image of the river channel at the gauging point.

Station information Details **Description of station** Natural section Water level control Bed rock and boulders **Equipment installed** Water level sensor with data logger and stageboard OS grid reference NN50981 79146 Station elevation 602m AOD 29th June 2023 Start of data recording **SEBA Dipper PT Logger type** Logging interval 15 minutes Location of manual gauging At stageboard

Table 1 Allt Loch a'Bhealaich Leamhain river gauging station




Figure 1 Location of the river gauging station



Figure 2 River channel at the gauging point

2.2 Manual flow gauging

Manual flow gaugings were undertaken at the river gauging site to develop a rating equation so that the stage data could be converted to flow. The gaugings were carried out using SEBA current meters with the flow calculated by the velocity - area method. The gauging methods followed the British Standards (BS EN ISO 748:2000). During the period July 2023 to July 2024 the site was visited 15 times; the manual flow gauging results are shown in Table 2.

Date Time **Equipment** Stage (m) Flow (m³/s) 06/07/2023 1200 Mini 0.265 0.2304 20/07/2023 1230 Mini 0.261 0.2210 1200 0.243 0.1700 02/08/2023 Mini 14/09/2023 1200 Mini 0.240 0.1750 18/10/2023 0.1720 1300 Mini 0.241 09/03/2024 1300 SEBA80m 0.240 0.1521 24/04/2024 1100 SEBA80m 0.245 0.1517 09/05/2024 1130 SEBA80m 0.216 0.0806 10/05/2024 1100 SEBA80m 0.0799 0.212 23/05/2024 1100 EM 0.285 0.3642 24/06/2024 1100 ΕM 0.218 0.1043 26/06/2024 0.222 0.0989 1100 ΕM 09/07/2024 1200 0.251 0.1749 ΕM 12/07/2024 1200 EM 0.234 0.1243 18/07/2024 1100 ΕM 0.201 0.0527

Table 2 Flow gauging results for the Allt Leamhain

The rating equation calculated for the Allt Leamhain is shown below: and the stage - flow relationship is given in Figure 3.

$$Q = 21.508 \times (H - 0.136)^{2.188}$$

Where:

 $Q = flow (m^3/s)$

H = stage(m)




Figure 3 Stage - flow relationship for the Allt Leamhain

2.3 Logger data

The data logger worked perfectly during the 12 month period with 100% data collection. The time series of daily mean stage (DMS) is shown in Figure 4. The logger data were quality controlled by setting the logger to the stageboard at the start of each period and progressively adjusting the data to stageboard reading at the end of the period.




Figure 4 DMS for the Allt Leamhain

3 Flow duration curves (FDC)

3.1 Short term

The flow data from the Allt Leamhain for the 12 month period from July 2023 were used to calculate a short term FDC (Table 3).

Percentile Flow m³/s) 5 1.4117 10 0.8306 15 0.5880 20 0.4687 25 0.3955 30 0.3487 35 0.3163 40 0.2913 45 0.2647 50 0.2394 55 0.2117 60 0.1788 0.1552 65 70 0.1305 **75** 0.1135 80 0.0928 85 0.0765 90 0.0619 95 0.0473

Table 3 FDC for the Allt Arcabhi

3.2 Long term FDC

3.2.1 Analogue stations

To derive a long term FDC from the 12 months of flow data, long term analogue stations operated by SEPA were used. For the Allt Leamhain, four SEPA stations were considered:

- Tarff at Ardachy Bridge
- Tilt at Marble Lodge
- Enrick at Mill of Tore
- Foyer at Whitebridge

To assess the representativeness of the selected SEPA stations as analogue stations for the Allt Leamhain, X-Y plots were produced of the daily mean flows for the Allt Leamhain against the analogue sites (Figures 5-8).

The X-Y plots showed that there was a reasonable fit with Mill of Tore ($R^2 = 0.64$) and with Whitebridge ($R^2 = 0.59$) but a less than good fit with Ardachy Bridge ($R^2 = 0.53$) and Marble Lodge ($R^2 = 0.49$). Therefore, Mill of Tore and Whitebridge were selected as the analogue stations.




Figure 5 DMF from Allt Leamhain against Ardachy Bridge




Figure 6 DMF from Allt Leamhain against Mable Lodge




Figure 7 DMF from Allt Leamhain against Mill of Tore




Figure 8 DMF from Allt Leamhain against Whitebridge

3.2.2 Trend analysis

The DMF values from the Allt Leamhain were plotted against the flow percentiles on the same day from the two analogue stations and a best fit trend line derived which was used to derive a FDC for the Allt Leamhain (Table 4).

Table 4 Allt Leamhain FDC derived by the trend method from analogue data

Flow Percentile (%)	Flow (m³/s)	
	Mill of Tore	Whitebridge
5	0.5707	0.5289
10	0.5037	0.4738
15	0.4445	0.4245
20	0.3922	0.3802
25	0.3462	0.3406
30	0.3055	0.3051
35	0.2696	0.2734
40	0.2379	0.2449
45	0.2100	0.2194
50	0.1853	0.1965
55	0.1635	0.1761
60	0.1443	0.1577
65	0.1273	0.1413
70	0.1124	0.1266
75	0.0992	0.1134
80	0.0875	0.1016
85	0.0772	0.0910
90	0.0682	0.0815
95	0.0602	0.0730

3.2.3 Range analysis

The DMF values from the Allt Leamhain were used with the flow percentiles on the same day from the two analogue stations to calculate the average flow for each flow percentile for the Allt Leamhain (Table 5).

Table 5 Allt Leamhain FDC derived by the range method from analogue data

Flow Percentile (%)	Flow (m³/s)	
	Mill of Tore	Whitebridge
5	0.6995	0.7363
10	0.6378	0.5715
15	0.5859	0.5686
20	0.4568	0.2169
25	0.3916	0.3788
30	0.2848	0.3474
35	0.3169	0.2656
40	0.2567	0.2926
45	0.1748	0.2566
50	0.2352	0.2201
55	0.1677	0.2366
60	0.1648	0.1845
65	0.1729	0.1579
70	0.1276	0.2275
75	0.1070	0.1702
80	0.1004	0.1384
85	0.0925	0.1002
90	0.0546	0.0936
95	0.0764	0.0593

3.2.4 Re-scaling analysis

The short term FDC from the Allt Leamhain was scaled up to long term FDC using the ratio between short and long term flow percentiles from the analogue stations (Table 6).

Table 6 Allt Leamhain FDC derived by the rescaling method from analogue data

Flow Percentile (%)	Flow (m³/s)	
	Mill of Tore	Whitebridge
5	2.2790	2.0573
10	1.1792	1.1403
15	0.7571	0.7621
20	0.5264	0.5529
25	0.4160	0.4403
30	0.3494	0.3626
35	0.3015	0.3171
40	0.2625	0.2761
45	0.2243	0.2476
50	0.1989	0.2224
55	0.1832	0.1976
60	0.1519	0.1752
65	0.1370	0.1591
70	0.1077	0.1290
75	0.0920	0.1103
80	0.0749	0.0981
85	0.0558	0.0742
90	0.0355	0.0515
95	0.0191	0.0338

3.3 Summary of results

Analysis of the data showed a reasonable consistency in the results apart from the FDC derived using the range method.

Figure 9 shows a plot of the six flow duration curves with the two derived using the range method appearing to be a departure from the other FDCs.

Neither analogue station had a significantly better relationship with the Allt Leamhain and no single FDC was identified as the best representation. Therefore, an average of the trend and rescaled FDCs was taken as the final FDC (Table 7 and Figure 10).




Figure 9 Allt Leamhain summary of the six FDC

Table 7 Allt Leamhain final FDC

Flow percentile (%)	Flow (m³/s)
5	1.3530
10	0.8195
15	0.5934
20	0.4602
25	0.3838
30	0.3293
35	0.2895
40	0.2549
45	0.2251
50	0.2009
55	0.1804
60	0.1577
65	0.1417
70	0.1195
75	0.1044
80	0.0912
85	0.0753
90	0.0599
95	0.0472




Figure 10 Final FDC with the short term FDC